REMARKS

Upon entry of the amendment the application currently contains claims 1-6, 8-11, 14-21 and 23-33. Claim 1, 19 and 23 are independent claims. Claims 1, 14-19 and 23-24 were currently amended. Claims 13, 22 and 34-46 were currently canceled.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC 112:

In paragraph 3 the Examiner states that claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph, as being indefinite, since it is unclear if "respective antenna device", "internally installed antenna device" and "interference antenna device" are all referring to the same thing.

Applicant has amended claim 1 to clarify the claim by making it clear that all the references are referring to the same "at least one internally installed antenna device".

In view of the amendment, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC 103(a):

In paragraph 5 the Examiner states that claims 1-6, 8, 11 and 22 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung-Joon Moon (WO 03/013025A1) in view of Lovinggood et al (US 6,934,511) and further in view of Tomoe (US 6,970,680).

In paragraph 6 the Examiner states that claim 9 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung-Joon Moon (WO 03/013025A1) in view of Lovinggood et al (US 6,934,511) and further in view of Tomoe (US 6,970,680), and further in view of Stewart (US 4,878,729).

In paragraph 7 the Examiner states that claim 10 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung-Joon Moon (WO 03/013025A1) in view of Lovinggood et al (US 6,934,511) and further in view of Tomoe (US 6,970,680), and further in view of Schulz et al. (US 2002/0039415).

In paragraph 8 the Examiner states that claim 24 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung-Joon Moon (WO 03/013025A1) in view of Lovinggood et al (US 6,934,511) and further in view of Tomoe (US 6,970,680), and further in view of Smith (US 2003/0021367).

All of the above 103 rejections should be considered moot in view of the amendments described below.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER:

In paragraph 9 the Examiner states that claims 13-21, 23 and 25-33 are objected to and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for this determination.

In the interest of expediting a patent applicant has amended claim 1 to include the limitation of claim 13 that was indicated as allowable and has canceled claim 13. Dependent claims 14-18 were amended to depend from claim 1.

Accordingly, claim 1 should be deemed allowable and claims 2-6, 8-11 and 14-18 should likewise be allowable.

Additionally, applicant has amended claim 19 into an independent claim by incorporating the limitations of claim 1. Accordingly claim 19 should be deemed allowable and claims 20-21 that depend from it should also be allowable.

Further additionally applicant has amended claim 23 into an independent claim by incorporating the limitations of claim 1 and claim 22, and canceling claim 22. Accordingly, claim 23 should be deemed allowable and dependent claims 24-33 should likewise be allowable.

Claims 34-46 were canceled without prejudice.

In view of the above amendments and explanations, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the currently pending claims.

Should any additional fees be due regarding this application the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee to Deposit Account No. 50-4339.

Should the Examiner have any questions concerning this Response, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully Submitted,

Daniel B. Schatz

Agent for the Applicant

Reg. No. 59,53/7

SOROKER-AGMON

Customer No: 67305

Tel: 011-972-9-950-7000

SOROKER-AGMON ADVOCATES & PATENT ATTORNEYS

Nolton House

14 Shenkar St., P.O.Box 12425 Herzliya Pituach 46733 Israel